Mechanism of electron transfer reaction of ternary dipicolinatochromium(III) complex involving oxalate as secondary ligand

HASSAN AMROUN EWAIS^{a,c,*} and IQBAL MOHAMED IBRHIUM ISMAIL^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, PO Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

^bCenter of Excellence in Environmental Studies, King Abdulaziz University, PO Box 80216, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia ^cChemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef City, Egypt

e-mail: hshalby2002@yahoo.com

MS received 21 February 2013; revised 29 April 2013; accepted 27 May 2013

Abstract. Mechanism of the oxidation of $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^-$ (DPA = dipicolinate and OX = oxalate) by periodate in aqueous acidic medium has been studied spectrophotometrically over the pH range of 4.45–5.57 at different temperatures. The reaction is first order with respect to both $[IO_4^-]$ and the complex concentration, and it obeys the following rate law:

 $d[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{VI}}]/dt = k_6 K_4 K_6 [\mathrm{IO}_4^-] [\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}]_{\mathrm{T}} / \{ ([\mathrm{H}^+] + K_4) + (K_5 [\mathrm{H}^+] + K_6 K_4) [\mathrm{IO}_4^-] \}.$

The rate of the reaction increases with increasing pH due to the deprotonation equilibria of the complex. The experimental rate law is consistent with a mechanism in which the deprotonated form $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(OH)]^{2-}$ is more reactive than the conjugated acid. It is proposed that electron transfer proceeds through an inner-sphere mechanism *via* coordination of IO_4^- to chromium(III). Thermodynamic activation parameters were calculated using the transition state theory equation.

Keywords. Ternary complex; electron transfer; inner-sphere mechanism; thermodynamic activation parameters.

1. Introduction

Pyrdinedicarboxylic acids and their derivatives belong to an interesting series of compounds with biological applications.¹ Pyrdine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (dipicolinic acid) is present in nature through oxidative degradation of a product of vitamins, coenzyme and alkaloids and is a component of fulvic acid. It has frequently been cited in literature as a plant sterilizing and water germicidal agent and an antioxidant of ascorbic acid in foods.² Pyrdine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid is almost unique to bacterial spores and may constitute as much as 15% of their weight.³ Dipicolinic acid is a desirable ligand for metal ions because of its low toxicity and amphoteric nature. Niacin or vitamin B₃ which is closely related to dipicolinic acid, is precursor of the coenzyme nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, (NAD) and is required in human diet.⁴ The interaction of transition and heavy metal ions with naturally occurring ligands in living organisms such as dipicolinic acid or its isomers chelidamic acid is important in evaluating the potential beneficial and deteriorative effect of these ions. Chromium picolinate complex (tris(picolinato)chromium(III)) is currently being used as a food additive and has been shown to assist diabetic patients in maintaining glycemic control.⁵ Co(II) complex of dipicolinic acid was effective in lowering diabetichyperlipidemia in rats with induced diabetes.⁶

Periodate oxidations have been reported to play an important role in biochemical studies.^{7,8} They are used in the determination of glucose and fructose in invert sugar syrups.⁷ Alpha-amino acids in proteins can be determined by measuring the ammonia produced through oxidation with periodate in alkaline medium.⁸ Also, periodate has been used in the modification of human serum transferrin by conjugation to an oligosaccharide.⁹

The oxidation of chromium from trivalent to hexavalent states is an important environmental process because of the high mobility and toxicity of chromium(VI).¹⁰ The higher oxidation states of chromium are of interest due to the toxic and mutagenic nature of these oxidation states of chromium.¹⁰

^{*}For correspondence

Oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(V) and/or Cr(VI) in biological systems came into consideration as a possible reason of anti-diabetic activities of some Cr(III) complexes, as well as of long-term toxicities of such complexes.¹¹ Specific interactions of Cr(III) ions with cellular insulin receptors,¹² are caused by intra- or extracellular oxidations of Cr(III) to Cr(V) and/or Cr(VI) compounds, which act as protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) inhibitors. The current perspective discusses chemical transformations of Cr(III) nutritional supplements in biological media, with implications for both beneficial and toxic actions of Cr(III) complexes, which are likely to arise from the same biochemical mechanisms, dependent on concentrations of the highly reactive Cr(IV/V/VI) species, formed in the reactions of Cr(III) with biological oxidants.¹³

Oxidations of inorganic substrates ^{14,15} and some transition metal complexes ^{16,17} by periodate are reported to proceed through inner-sphere mechanisms, either labile or inert complexes possessing at least one bridging ligand. Periodate oxidations of the chromium(III) complexes of iminodiacetic acid, ¹⁸ 2-aminopyridine, ¹⁹ Larginine ²⁰ by periodate were carried out. In all cases, the electron transfer proceeds through an inner-sphere mechanism via coordination of IO_4^- to chromium(III).

Periodate oxidations of some ternary complexes of chromium(III) and cobalt(II) were investigated.²¹⁻²⁴ Binary and ternary chromium(III) complexes of uridine involving aspartate as a secondary ligand²¹ by periodate in acid medium were investigated in order to study the effect of secondary ligands on the stability of $[Cr^{III}(Ud)(H_2O)_3]^{3+}$ (Ud = uridine) towards oxidation. Kinetics and mechanism of oxidation of the binary and ternary N-(2-acetamido)iminodiacetatocobaltate(II) complexes^{23,24} involving malonate²³ succinate and maleate²⁴ as secondary ligands by periodate have been investigated. In all cases, initial cobalt(III) products were formed, and these changed slowly to the final cobalt(III) products. It is proposed that the reaction follows an inner-sphere mechanism, which suggested relatively faster rates of ring closure compared to the oxidation step.

In this article, the kinetics of oxidation of $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^-$ by periodate was studied in order to assume the effect of complex formation on the resistance of chromium(III) towards oxidation and also to deduce the reaction pathways.

2. Experimental

All chemicals used in this study were of reagent grade (Analar, BDH, Sigma). Buffer solutions were prepared

from acetic acid and sodium acetate of known concentration. NaNO₃ was used to adjust ionic strength in the different buffered solution. Doubly distilled H_2O was used in all kinetic runs. A stock solution of NaIO₄ (Aldrich) was prepared by accurate weighing and wrapped in aluminum foil to avoid photochemical decomposition.²⁵

Na[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H₂O)].H₂O was prepared by a method similar to that reported in literature.²⁶ Elemental analysis data of the complex was as follows: Found (calcd.) for $Na[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)].H_2O$, [NaCr^{III}C₉H₈O₁₀N]; C, 28.93 (29.58); H, 2.32 (2.19); N, 3.67 (3.84)%. In the IR spectrum of the complex, the band at 3555 cm^{-1} was assigned to $\nu(\text{OH})$ of lattice water, while the bands at 3471 and 911 cm⁻¹ are attributed to ν (OH) of the coordinated water molecule.²⁷ The carboxylate band ν (COO) was observed at 1647 cm⁻¹, indicating that the deprotonated carboxylic groups of the ligands are coordinated to the chromium ion. The thermogram of $[NaCr^{III}C_9H_8O_{10}N]$ shows a weight loss (9.34%) beginning at 196.6°C corresponding to the loss of one lattice water and one coordinated water molecule (calc. 9.86%). The weight loss (19.28%) at 379.5°C corresponds to the loss of one CO_2 and one CO molecule (calc. 19.73%). The elemental analysis, IR spectrum and thermogram of $[NaCr^{III}C_9H_8O_{10}N]$ complex thus agree with the structure formula as shown in figure 1.

UV-visible absorption spectra of the products of oxidation of $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^-$ by IO_4^- were followed spectrophotometrically for a definite period of time using the LABOMED, INC UVD-2960 spectrophotometer. All reactants were thermally equilibrated for ca 15 min in an automatic circulation thermostat, thoroughly mixed and quickly transferred to an absorption cell. Oxidation rates were measured by monitoring the absorbance of Cr^{VI} at 355 nm, on a Perkin Elmer EZ-150 spectrophotometer, where absorption of the oxidation products is maximal at the reaction pH.



Figure 1. Structure formula of chromium(III) complex.

The pH of the reaction mixture was measured using ATC pH-meter G353. Temperature of the reacting solution was controlled, using automatic circulation thermostat. A thermostat was provided with a special pumping system for circulating water at regulated temperature in the cell holder. Average stabilizing accuracy as measured in the thermostat liquid was $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C

Pseudo-first-order conditions were maintained in all runs by the presence of a large excess (>10-fold) of IO_4^- . The ionic strength was kept constant by addition of NaNO₃ solution. The pH of the reaction mixture was found to be constant during the reaction run. Pseudo-first-order rate constants, k_{obs} , were obtained from the slopes of plots of $ln(A_{\infty}-A_t)$ versus time, where A_t and A_{∞} are the absorbances at time t and infinity, respectively. The enthalpy of activation, ΔH^* , and entropy of activation ΔS^* , were calculated using the Eyring equation by plotting ln(k/T) against 1/T;

$$\ln k/T = \ln k_b/h + \Delta S^*/R - \Delta H^*/RT,$$

where: k_b is the Boltzmann constant, h is Plank's constant, R is the universal gas constant, T is the absolute temperature and k is the rate constant. Error limits for the results were calculated using MicrocalTM Origin® (Version 7.0).

Stoichiometry of the reaction was determined by measuring the absorbance of Cr^{VI} produced at 355 nm after 24 h from the onset of the reaction using excess concentration of complex over that of IO_4^- . Quantity of Cr^{III} consumed was calculated using molar absorptivity of Cr^{VI} at the employed pH.

In order to verify the presence of free radicals in the reaction, the following test was performed. A reaction mixture containing acrylonitrile was kept for 24 h in an inert atmosphere. On diluting the reaction mixture with methanol, no precipitate was formed; hence, this suggests that there is no possibility of free radical intervention in the reaction.

3. Results and discussion

Ultraviolet visible absorption spectra of the oxidation products of $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^-$ are shown in figure 2. Absorption spectra of this reaction were monitored as a function of time over the 300–700 nm range. Absorption spectra show that the chromium(III)complex peaks at 560 and 412 nm have disappeared and are replaced by an other peak at 355 nm which corresponds to chromium(VI). This is identical to periodate oxidations of some chromium(III) complexes under the same conditions.^{18–20} Presence of a isosbestic point at 500 nm in the absorption spectra was taken as the



Figure 2. Change in absorbance as a function of time: Curves (1)–(7) were recorded at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 40 min, respectively from the time initiation: [complex] = $5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$, $[\text{IO}_4^-] = 0.02 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$, $I = 0.20 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$ (NaNO₃), pH = 4.99 and T = 30°C. Curve (8) spectrum of Cr^{III}-complex = $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$.

criterion for the presence of two absorbing species in equilibrium.

The kinetics of oxidation of $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^$ by periodate were studied over the 4.45–5.57 pH range, 0.20 mol dm⁻³ ionic strength, (0.50–5.0) × 10⁻² mol dm⁻³ periodate concentration range, (1.25–6.25) × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³ complex concentration range, and 20.0– 40.0°C.

Stoichiometry of the $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^-/IO_4^-$ reaction can be represented by equation (1).

$$2Cr^{III} + 3[IO_4]^- \longrightarrow 2Cr^{VI} + 3[IO_3]^- + DPA + OX.$$
(1)

The ratio of $[IO_4]^-$ initially present to Cr^{VI} produced was 1.5 ± 0.03 , the stoichiometry is consistent with the observation that IO_3^- does not oxidize the Cr^{III} complex over the pH range where the kinetics was investigated.

Plots of ln $(A_{\infty}-A_t)$ versus time were linear up to >85% from the beginning of reaction where A_t and

 A_{∞} are absorbance at time *t* and infinity, respectively. Pseudo-first-order rate constants, k_{obs} , were obtained from the slopes of these plots, thus values of $10^5 k_{obs}$ of 5.88 ± 0.02 , 6.27 ± 0.04 , 6.39 ± 0.03 and $6.21 \pm 0.05 \text{ s}^{-1}$ were obtained at $10^{-4}[\text{Cr}^{\text{III}}(\text{DPA})(\text{OX})(\text{H}_2\text{O})^{-}] =$ 2.50, 3.75, 6.25 and $7.50 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$, pH = 4.99, $[\text{IO}_4^-] = 0.02 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$ and $T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$. These results show that k_{obs} was unaffected when the concentration of the chromium(III)-complex was varied at constant periodate concentration, indicating first-order dependence on complex concentration.

$$d[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{VI}}]/dt = k_{\mathrm{obs}}[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}]_T, \qquad (2)$$

where $[Cr^{III}]_T$ represents the total chromium(III) concentration present. Variation of k_{obs} with $[IO_4^-]$ at

various pH values and different temperatures (table 1), shows that the reaction rate increased as the pH increased over the range studied under constant reaction conditions. It is obvious from these results that k_{obs} does not vary linearly with $[IO_4^-]$ as in equation (3) at all pH ranges covered in this study. Actually, the variation with $[IO_4^-]$ is very small indicating high association between the two reactants. Plots of $1/k_{obs}$ versus $1/[IO_4^-]$ are linear at several pH values with slopes (1/a) and an intercepts (b/a) according to linear equation: y = mx + c with correlation coefficients summarized in table 2. Data in table 2 shows that both intercepts (1/a) and slopes (b/a) are pH-dependent.

$$k_{\rm obs} = a[{\rm IO}_4^-]/(1 + b[{\rm IO}_4^-]).$$
 (3)

pН	$10^2 \left[\mathrm{IO}_4^- \right] \\ \mathrm{mol} \ \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$	20°C	25°C	30°C	40°C
4.45	0.5	0.97 ± 0.01	1.22 ± 0.02	1.43 ± 0.01	2.24 ± 0.03
	1.0	1.64 ± 0.01	1.97 ± 0.01	2.23 ± 0.01	3.82 ± 0.01
	1.5	2.20 ± 0.02	2.75 ± 0.02	3.17 ± 0.04	4.72 ± 0.02
	2.0	2.67 ± 0.01	3.12 ± 0.05	3.90 ± 0.02	5.50 ± 0.06
	3.0	3.30 ± 0.03	4.27 ± 0.03	4.86 ± 0.05	6.67 ± 0.04
	4.0	3.71 ± 0.02	4.98 ± 0.02	5.47 ± 0.03	7.38 ± 0.04
	5.0	4.16 ± 0.04	5.18 ± 0.04	6.04 ± 0.02	7.61 ± 0.05
4.69	0.5	1.46 ± 0.01	1.72 ± 0.01	2.25 ± 0.03	3.16 ± 0.03
	1.0	2.39 ± 0.02	2.89 ± 0.01	3.42 ± 0.01	5.33 ± 0.03
	1.5	3.04 ± 0.05	3.71 ± 0.04	4.19 ± 0.05	6.25 ± 0.03
	2.0	3.74 ± 0.03	4.20 ± 0.02	5.53 ± 0.03	7.32 ± 0.03
	3.0	4.47 ± 0.02	5.64 ± 0.03	7.05 ± 0.04	8.67 ± 0.05
	4.0	5.23 ± 0.02	6.74 ± 0.03	7.74 ± 0.03	10.83 ± 0.04
	5.0	6.03 ± 0.04	7.48 ± 0.06	8.60 ± 0.06	11.67 ± 0.05
4.99	0.5	1.86 ± 0.01	2.29 ± 0.02	2.98 ± 0.02	4.51 ± 0.02
	1.0	3.12 ± 0.02	3.83 ± 0.04	4.69 ± 0.02	7.64 ± 0.04
	1.5	3.90 ± 0.05	4.73 ± 0.02	5.97 ± 0.05	9.16 ± 0.07
	2.0	4.71 ± 0.03	6.17 ± 0.03	7.46 ± 0.05	10.37 ± 0.05
	3.0	5.74 ± 0.04	7.67 ± 0.06	9.12 ± 0.04	11.77 ± 0.06
	4.0	6.46 ± 0.07	8.65 ± 0.04	10.06 ± 0.07	13.53 ± 0.10
	5.0	7.65 ± 0.03	9.83 ± 0.05	11.65 ± 0.06	15.56 ± 0.15
5.23	0.5	2.51 ± 0.02	3.01 ± 0.01	4.37 ± 0.03	6.57 ± 0.08
	1.0	3.69 ± 0.01	5.15 ± 0.04	6.82 ± 0.03	9.32 ± 0.06
	1.5	4.93 ± 0.01	6.40 ± 0.05	8.19 ± 0.05	12.61 ± 0.06
	2.0	6.62 ± 0.04	7.59 ± 0.03	10.06 ± 0.07	15.25 ± 0.09
	3.0	7.53 ± 0.03	8.65 ± 0.03	11.56 ± 0.07	18.47 ± 0.012
	4.0	8.47 ± 0.05	10.73 ± 0.07	13.64 ± 0.10	20.53 ± 0.07
	5.0	9.05 ± 0.04	11.52 ± 0.05	15.70 ± 0.08	22.76 ± 0.09
5.57	0.5	3.15 ± 0.01	4.10 ± 0.03	5.88 ± 0.02	8.33 ± 0.12
	1.0	4.78 ± 0.02	6.33 ± 0.02	8.32 ± 0.04	12.65 ± 0.015
	1.5	6.15 ± 0.03	7.98 ± 0.04	10.47 ± 0.04	15.46 ± 0.20
	2.0	7.25 ± 0.04	9.60 ± 0.03	13.79 ± 0.06	17.38 ± 0.14
	3.0	8.76 ± 0.06	11.22 ± 0.08	17.01 ± 0.09	22.00 ± 0.20
	4.0	9.80 ± 0.03	13.37 ± 0.06	18.34 ± 0.08	25.67 ± 0.25
	5.0	11.16 ± 0.05	15.63 ± 0.06	20.37 ± 0.12	27.12 ± 0.15

Table 1. Dependence of the rate constant, $10^5 k_{obs} \text{ s}^{-1}$, on $[\text{IO}_4^-]$ at different pHs and temperatures. $[\text{Cr}^{\text{III}}(\text{DPA})(\text{OX})(\text{H}_2\text{O})^-] = 5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $I = 0.2 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$.

Temp. (°C)	pH	r	$10^{-3} b/a$ (s)	$10^{-2} \ 1/a \ (\text{mol dm}^{-3} \ \text{s})$
20.0	4.45	0.9995	15.85 ± 0.7	4.42 ± 0.07
	4.69	0.9966	12.48 ± 0.80	2.84 ± 0.04
	4.99	0.9976	9.88 ± 0.65	2.21 ± 0.05
	5.23	0.9871	8.17 ± 0.50	1.64 ± 0.01
	5.57	0.9928	7.32 ± 0.45	1.25 ± 0.02
25.0	4.45	0.9950	12.73 ± 0.60	3.53 ± 0.06
	4.69	0.9951	9.68 ± 0.34	2.46 ± 0.03
	4.99	0.9956	7.15 ± 0.50	1.86 ± 0.02
	5.23	0.9976	6.38 ± 0.40	1.34 ± 0.03
	5.57	0.9905	5.37 ± 0.22	0.98 ± 0.03
30.0	4.45	0.9926	11.42 ± 0.43	3.01 ± 0.02
	4.69	0.9855	8.97 ± 0.65	1.86 ± 0.04
	4.99	0.9938	6.61 ± 0.30	1.38 ± 0.01
	5.23	0.9906	5.40 ± 0.22	0.89 ± 0.02
	5.57	0.9870	4.33 ± 0.34	0.68 ± 0.03
40.0	4.45	0.9990	9.28 ± 0.40	1.76 ± 0.04
	4.69	0.9927	6.80 ± 0.50	1.25 ± 0.05
	4.99	0.9953	5.17 ± 0.20	0.84 ± 0.01
	5.23	0.9830	3.57 ± 0.15	0.61 ± 0.02
	5.57	0.9897	$3.08~0\pm0.20$	0.46 ± 0.02

 Table 2.
 Values of intercepts and slopes at various temperatures and pH.

or

$$1/k_{\rm obs} = 1/a[{\rm IO}_{4}^{-}] + b/a.$$
 (4)

Values of 1/a and b/a at different pH values and different temperatures are represented in table 2. Plots of both 1/a and b/a versus [H⁺] are linear as shown in figures 3



Figure 3. Plot of b/a versus $[H^+]$ at different temperatures.

and 4. It can be seen that this variation is described by equations (5) and (6).

$$1/a = k_1[H+] + k_2.$$
(5)

$$b/a = k_3[\mathrm{H}^+] + k_4.$$
 (6)



Figure 4. Plot of 1/a versus [H⁺] at different temperatures.

Temp. (°C)	$10^{-6}k_1 \text{ (mol dm}^{-3} \text{ s)}$	$10^{-1}k_2$ (s)	$10^{-8}k_3 \text{ (mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3\text{s})$	$10^{-3}k_4(s)$
20	9.37 ± 0.15	10.83 ± 0.28	2.61 ± 0.40	6.86 ± 0.90
25	7.57 ± 0.08	9.09 ± 0.15	2.34 ± 0.50	4.94 ± 1.00
30	6.99 ± 0.17	5.26 ± 0.32	2.14 ± 0.35	4.17 ± 0.68
40	3.96 ± 0.14	3.95 ± 0.30	1.90 ± 0.20	2.76 ± 0.40

Table 3. Values of k_1 , k_2 , k_3 and k_4 at different temperatures.

Values of k_1, k_2, k_3 and k_4 were calculated from the intercepts and slopes of figures 3 and 4 and summarized in table 3. Including equations (4), (5) and (6), kinetics of the oxidation of $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^-$ by IO_4^- are given by equation (7).

$$1/k_{\rm obs} = (k_1 + k_2[{\rm H}^+])/[{\rm IO}_4^-] + (k_3 + k_4[{\rm H}^+]).$$
 (7)

Also, the rate of reaction increases with increasing ionic strength, thus values of $10^5 k_{obs}$ of 6.71 \pm 0.03, 7.60 \pm 0.05, 8.43 \pm 0.04 and 9.55 \pm 0.07 s⁻¹ were obtained at I = 0.30, 0.40, 0.50 and 0.60 mol dm⁻³, pH = 4.99, $[IO_4^-] = 0.02 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ and $T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$. This phenomenon has been attributed to the fact that the reaction takes place between charged species of the same sign.

Oxidation of $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^-$ by periodate may be proceeding through an inner-sphere mechanism. Assignment of an inner-sphere mechanism for this reaction seems to be supported by the fact that $[IO_4]^-$ is capable of acting as a ligand as demonstrated by its coordination by copper(III)²⁸ and nickel(IV),²⁹ in which the coordinated H_2O is substituted by I^{VII}.^{18–20}

Also, it may be concluded that from the reported equilibrium constants of aqueous periodate solutions over the pH range used, periodate sources likely to be present are IO_4^- , $H_4IO_6^-$ and $H_3IO_6^{2-30}$ according to the following equilibria:³⁰

$$H_5IO_6 \longrightarrow H_4IO_6^- + H^+ (K_1 = 5.1 \times 10^{-4})$$
 (8)

$$H_4IO_6^- = 2H_2O + IO_4^- (K_2 = 40)$$
 (9)

$$H_4IO_6^- \longrightarrow H_3IO_6^{2-} + H^+ \quad (K_3 = 2.0 \times 10^{-7})$$
 (10)

From the K_3 value, $H_3IO_6^{2-}$ is not predominant species (periodate will be used to represent $H_4IO_6^{-}$).³⁰

In acid medium, the chromium(III)-complex is in equilibrium:

$$\left[\operatorname{Cr}^{\operatorname{III}}(\operatorname{DPA})(\operatorname{OX})(\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O})\right]^{-} \rightleftharpoons \left[\operatorname{Cr}^{\operatorname{III}}(\operatorname{DPA})(\operatorname{OX})(\operatorname{OH})\right]^{2-} + \operatorname{H}^{+} \qquad K_{4} \qquad (11)$$

 K_4 was measured potentiometrically and has the value of 3.67×10^{-6} at 25°C and I = 0.20 mol dm⁻³. From the pH (4.45–5.57) and the K_4 value, it is clear that [Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(OH)]²⁻ may be the reactive species. Oxidation rate increases with increasing pH (table 1). This is in agreement with the involvement of the deprotonated form of the complex, [Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(OH)]²⁻, in the rate-determining step. An inner-sphere process may still be accommodated through replacement of the H₂O ligand in [Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H₂O)]⁻ by IO₄⁻.^{19,20} An inner-sphere mechanism seems to be preferable if not the only pathway in periodate oxidation. Failure of periodate to oxidize Fe(Phen)²⁺₃ to Fe(Phen)³⁺₃ is in keeping with an inner-sphere mechanism.³¹ Oxidation of [Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H₂O)]⁻ by periodate may proceed through an inner-sphere mechanism via one or two electron transfer giving chromium(IV) or chromium(V), respectively in the rate-determining step leading to chromium(VI). Two-electron transfer is the most likely pathway and leads to the formation of chromium(V).^{15,21} This seems to be supported by the absence of polymerization with acrylonitrile. If the reaction proceeds via one electron transfer giving chromium(IV), then I(VI) would be formed and polymerization of acrylonitrile occurs. In the oxidation of $[Fe^{II}(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ by IO_4^- , which proceeds by one electron transfer, polymerization of acrylonitrile was observed.³²

A possible mechanism is described by equations (12-15):

$$\left[\operatorname{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{DPA})(\mathrm{OX})(\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O})\right]^{-} + \left[\operatorname{IO}_{4}^{-}\right] \rightleftharpoons \left[\operatorname{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{DPA})(\mathrm{OX})(\mathrm{OIO}_{3})\right]^{2-} + \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \qquad K_{5} \qquad (12)$$

$$\left[\operatorname{Cr}^{\operatorname{III}}(\operatorname{DPA})(\operatorname{OX})(\operatorname{OH})\right]^{2-} + \left[\operatorname{IO}_{4}^{-}\right] \rightleftharpoons \left[\operatorname{Cr}^{\operatorname{III}}(\operatorname{DPA})(\operatorname{OX})(\operatorname{OH})(\operatorname{OIO}_{3})\right]^{3-} \qquad K_{6} \qquad (13)$$

$$\left[\operatorname{Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(OIO_3)}\right]^{2-} \longrightarrow \left[\operatorname{Cr^{V}(DPA)(OX)O}\right]^{-} + IO_3^{-} + k_5$$
(14)

$$\left[\operatorname{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{DPA})(\mathrm{OX})(\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{OIO}_3)\right]^{3-} \longrightarrow \left[\operatorname{Cr}^{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{DPA})(\mathrm{OX})\mathrm{O}\right]^{-} + \mathrm{IO}_3^{-} + \mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad k_6 \tag{15}$$

$$[Cr^{V}(DPA)(OX)O]^{-} + IO_{4}^{-} \longrightarrow Cr(VI) + IO_{3}^{-} + DPA + OX$$
 (fast) (16)

$$2I^{VI} \longrightarrow I^{VII} + I^{V} \qquad (fast). \tag{17}$$

From the above mechanism, the rate of the reaction is given by:

$$d\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{VI}}\right]/dt = \left[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{DPA})(\mathrm{OX})(\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O})^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}\right]$$
$$\times (k_{5}K_{5} + k_{6}K_{4}K_{6}/\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]). \quad (18)$$

If we assume that $[Cr^{III}]_T$ represents all the different forms of chromium(III), then:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Cr^{III} \end{bmatrix}_{T} = \begin{bmatrix} Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_{2}O)^{-} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(OH)^{2-} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(OIO_{3})^{2-} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(OH)(OIO_{3})^{3-} \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Cr}^{\operatorname{III}} \end{bmatrix}_{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Cr}^{\operatorname{III}}(\operatorname{DPA})(\operatorname{OX})(\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O})^{-} \end{bmatrix} \times \{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{H}^{+} \end{bmatrix} + K_{4} \right) + \left(K_{5} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{H}^{+} \end{bmatrix} + K_{6}K_{4} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{IO}_{4}^{-} \end{bmatrix} \}.$$
(20)

Substituting $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)^-]$ from equation (20) into equation (18) gives:

$$d\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{VI}}\right]/dt = \left[\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}\right]_{T} (k_{5}K_{5} + k_{6}K_{4}K_{6}/\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right])/ \\ \left\{\left(\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] + K_{4}\right) + \left(K_{5}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] + K_{6}K_{4}\right)\left[\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}\right]\right\}\right\}.$$
(21)

Hence,

$$k_{\text{obs}} = \left[\text{IO}_{4}^{-} \right] (k_{5}K_{5} + k_{6}K_{4}K_{6} / \left[\text{H}^{+} \right]) / \\ \left\{ \left(\left[\text{H}^{+} \right] + K_{4} \right) + \left(K_{5} \left[\text{H}^{+} \right] + K_{6}K_{4} \right) \left[\text{IO}_{4}^{-} \right] \right\}.$$
(22)

Since, deprotonated form, $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(OH)]^{2-}$ is considered to be more reactive form than its conjugate acid, we can assume $K_6 >> K_5$ and that equation (22) may be reduced to equation (23).

$$k_{\text{obs}} = k_6 K_4 K_6 \left[\text{IO}_4^- \right] / \{ \left(\left[\text{H}^+ \right] + K_4 \right) + \left(K_5 \left[\text{H}^+ \right] + K_6 K_4 \right) \left[\text{IO}_4^- \right] \}.$$
(23)

Upon rearrangement:

$$1/k_{obs} = 1/[IO_4^-] \{ [H^+]/k_6 K_4 K_6 + 1/k_6 K_6 \} + \{ K_5 [H^+]/k_6 K_4 K_6 + 1/k_6 \}.$$
(24)

From equation (24), the slope (1/a) and intercept (b/a) are given by equations (25) and (26), respectively.

$$1/a = \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]/k_{6}K_{4}K_{6} + 1/k_{6}K_{6}$$
(25)

$$b/a = K_5 \left[\mathrm{H}^+ \right] / k_6 K_4 K_6 + 1/k_6$$
 (26)

$$k_1 = 1/k_6 K_4 K_6; \ k_2 = 1/k_6 K_6;$$

 $k_3 = K_5/k_6 K_4 K_6; \ k_4 = 1/k_6$

The K_4 value was calculated by dividing k_2/k_1 , as 1.20×10^{-5} mol dm⁻³ at 25°C. Values of K_5 and K_6 were calculated from k_3/k_1 and k_4/k_2 as 30.91 and 54.34 mol⁻¹ dm³ at 25°C, respectively. The intramolecular electron transfer rate constant, k_5 , was calculated at different temperatures from $k_4 = 1/k_6$ as follows: $10^4k_6 = 1.46$, 2.02, 2.40 and 3.62 s⁻¹ at 20, 25, 30 and 40°C, respectively. From the previous data, it is clear that the electron transfer rate constant, k_6 , increases with increasing temperature. Thermodynamic activation parameters including the enthalpy and entropy terms associated with k_6 were calculated from a leastsquares fit to the transition state theory equation as ΔH^* 31.0 ± 2.6 kJ mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^* - 211.7 \pm 8.5J K^{-1}$ mol⁻¹, respectively.

High negative entropies of activation for this reaction may result from the charge concentration on encounter complex formation, which causes substantial mutual ordering of the solvated water molecules.³³ Intramolecular electron transfer steps are endothermic as indicated by the positive ΔH^* values. Contributions of ΔH^* and ΔS^* to the rate constant seem to compensate each other. This fact suggests that the factors controlling ΔH^* must be closely related to those controlling ΔS^* . Therefore, the solvation state of the encounter complex would be important in determining ΔH^* .³³ The relatively low value of enthalpy of activation, ΔH^* , can be explained in terms of the formation of a more solvated complex.

Complex	$10^3 k^{\rm et} ({\rm s}^{-1})$	ΔH^* (KJ/mol)	$-\Delta S^*$ (J/Kmol)	Ref.	Figure 5 key
[Cr ^{III} (TOH)(H ₂ O)]	2.95	76 ± 2.1	38.7 ± 7.1	15	1
$[Cr^{III}(NTA)(Asp)(H_2O)]^-$	3.93	64.6 ± 8.5	76 ± 7.7	22	2
$[Cr^{III}(Ud)(Asp)(H_2O)_3]^{2+}$	0.70	59.5 ± 9.2	107 ± 35.2	21	3
$[Cr^{III}(Ud)(H_2O)_3]^{3+}$	9.31	37.8 ± 3.1	158.3 ± 39.3	21	4
$[Cr^{III}(HIDA(Val)(H_2O)]$	1.22	41.7 ± 1.5	162.5 ± 3.3	33	5
$[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^-$	0.24	31 ± 2.6	212 ± 8.5	This work	6
$[Cr^{III}(HIDA)(Arg)(H_2O)_2]^+$	1.82	15.9 ± 1.2	227 ± 5	33	7
$[Cr^{III}(HIDA)_2(H_2O)]$	10.9	12.3 ± 1	240.7 ± 7	18	8

 Table 4.
 Enthalpies and entropies of activation for the oxidation of some chromium(III) complexes by periodate.

Enthalpies and entropies of activation for the oxidation of chromium(III) complexes by periodate are shown in table 4. ΔH^* and ΔS^* for the oxidation of these complexes were calculated related to intramolecular electron transfer steps except for $[Cr^{III}(HIDA)_2(H_2O)]$, ¹⁸ $[Cr^{III}(HIDA)(Arg)(H_2O)_2]^+$, ³⁴ and $[Cr^{III}(NTA)(Hist)(H_2O)]^{-}$, ²² ΔH^* and ΔS^* are composite values including enthalpy of formation of the precursor complexes and intramolecular electron transfer steps. A plot of ΔH^* versus ΔS^* for these complexes is shown in figure 5, and an excellent linear relationship was obtained. Similar linear plots were found for a large number of redox reactions³⁵ and for each reaction series, a common rate-determining step is proposed. The isokinetic relation lends support to a common mechanism for the oxidation of chromium(III) complexes, reported here, by periodate. This consists of a periodate ion coordination to the chromium(III) complexes in a step preceding the rate-determining



Figure 5. Relation between enthalpies and entropies of activation of some chromium(III) Complexes.

intramolecular electron transfer within the precursor complex. Isokinetic compensation between ΔH^* and ΔS^* in a series of related reactions usually implies that one interaction between the reactants varies within the series, the remainder of the mechanism being invariant.³⁶ Electron transfer reactivities of these complexes with periodate are comparable, as the coordination of periodate with these complexes are identical. All these suggest that the excellent correlation often observed between ΔS^* and ΔH^* mainly reflects the fact that both thermodynamic parameters are in reality two measures of the same thing, and that measuring a compensation temperature is just a rather indirect way of measuring the average temperature at which the experiments were carried out. As this temperature will often be in a range that the experimenter expects to have some biological significance, it is not surprising if the compensation temperature turns out to have a biologically suggestive value.³⁷

4. Conclusion

Oxidation of $[Cr^{III}(DPA)(OX)(H_2O)]^-$ by periodate proceeds via an inner-sphere mechanism. Rate of oxidation increases with increase in pH. These reactions proceed through two-electron transfer process leading to the formation of chromium(VI). A common mechanism for the oxidation of ternary chromium(III) complexes by periodate is proposed, and is supported by the excellent isokinetic relationship between ΔH^* and ΔS^* values for these reactions.

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the (SABIC) and the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant no. (MS/13/234/1432). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks SABIC and DSR for technical and financial support.

References

- 1. Brzyska W and Ozga W 1997 Polish J. Chem. 71 4336
- Ferrora S, Passamont P, Bortocci V and Fucciarelli F 1997 J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 93 289
- 3. Setlow P 2003 Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 550 556
- 4. Nadai M, Watanabe H, Fujiwara C, Kakegawa H, Sataoh T, Takada J, Matsushita R and Sakurai H 1995 *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* **18** 719
- 5. Anderson R A, Cheng N, Bryden N A, Polansky M M, Cheng N, Chi J and Feng J 1997 *Diabetes* **46** 1786
- Yang L, Crans D C, Miller S M, La Cour A, Anderson O P, Kaszynski P M, Godzala M E, Austin L D and Willsky G R 2002 *Inorg. Chem.* 41 4859
- Josiane M, Toloti C, Crisiti D B, Elias Z A and Santos M L 2005 Anal. Chim. Acta 531 279
- 8. Slyke D D V, Hiller A, Macfadyen D A, Hastings A B and Kemperer F W 1994 *J. Biol. Chem.* **133** 287
- 9. Anna D M, Gabriele D and Arduino O 1998 *Clin. Chim. Acta* **274** 189
- Levina A, Codd R, Dillon C T and Lay P A 2003 Prog. Inorg. Chem. 51 145
- 11. Levina A and Lay P A 2005 Coord. Chem. Rev. 249 281
- 12. Vincent J B and Latour J M 2003 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125 774
- 13. Levina A and Lay P A 2008 Chem. Res. Toxicol. 21 563
- 14. Galliford D J B and Ottaway J M 1972 Analyst 91 415
- 15. Abdel-Khalek A A and Elsmongy M M 1988 Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 61 4407
- 16. Ali I H and Sulfab Y 2011 Inter. J. Chem. Kinet. 43 563
- 17. Ewais H A and Muftah S M 2012 J. Oxid. Commun. 35 340
- Hassan H A, Otaibi F D and Abdel-Khalek A A 2006 Inorg. React. Mech. 6 39

- 19. Abdel-Hady A M 2000 Trans. Met. Chem. 25 437
- Abdel-Khalek A A, Sayyah S M and Ewais H A 1997 Trans. Met. Chem. 22 557
- 21. Khaled E S H 2007 Inorg. React. Mech. 6 247
- 22. Ewais H A, Elroby S A and Habib M A 2010 *Trans. Met. Chem.* **35** 37
- 23. Ewais H A, Nagdy M A and Abdel-Khalek A A 2012 *J. Oxid. Commun.* **35** 327
- 24. Ewais H A, Nagdy M A and Abdel-Khalek A A 2012 *Trans. Met. Chem.* **37** 525
- 25. Head F S and Standing H A 1953 J. Chem. Soc. 75 5670
- 26. Ewais H A 2002 Trans. Met. Chem. 27 562
- 27. Lever A B P 1984 *Inorganic electronic spectroscopy* (Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier)
- Hadince I, Jenovsky L, Linek A and Synecek V 1960 Naturwissenschaften 47 377
- 29. Ray P 1957 Inorg. Synth. 5 201
- 30. Crouthamel C E, Hayes A M and Martin D S 1951 J. Am. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 73 82
- Rizzotto M, Levina A, Santoro M, Garcia S, Frascaroli M I, Signorella S, Sala L F and Lay P A 2002 J. Chem. Soc. Dalt. Trans. 3206
- 32. Symons M C R 1955 J. Chem. Soc. 2794. doi:10. 1039/JR9550002794
- 33. Weaver M J and Yee E L 1980 Inorg. Chem. 19 1936
- 34. Ewais H A, Dahman F D and Abdel-Khalek A A 2009 Central J. Chem. **3** 3
- 35. Mcardle J V, Coyle C L, Gray H B, Yoneda C S and Howerda R A 1977 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99 2483
- Wherland S and Gray H B 1977 *Biological aspects of inorganic chemistry*, D Dolphin (ed.) (New York: Wiley) p. 189
- 37. Bowden A C 2002 J. Biosci. 27 121